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- Discuss hypercube method, add slides later on


## State Assignment Using Partition Pairs

- This method allows for finding high quality solutions but is slow and complicated
Only computer approach is practical
- Definition of Partition.

Set of blocks $B_{i}$ is a partition of set $S$ if the union of all these blocks forms set $S$ and any two of them are disjoint

- B1 u B2 u B3 $\ldots=$ S
$\square B 1 \wedge B 2=\{ \}, B 2 \wedge B 3 \ldots=\{ \}$, etc
Example 1: $\{12,45,36\},\{\{1,2\},\{4,5\},\{3,6\}\}$
Example 2: $\{123,345\}$ not a partition but a set cover


## State Assignment Using Partition Pairs

- Definition of X-successor of state $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{a}}$
- The state to which the machine goes from state $S_{a}$ using input $X$
$\star$ Definition of Partition Pair
- $\mathbf{P} 1=>\mathbf{P}$ 2 is a partition pair if for every two elements $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{b}}$ from any block in $P 1$ and every input symbol $X_{i}$ the $X_{i}$ successors of states $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{b}}$ are in the same block of $\mathbf{P} 2$


## State Assignment Using Partition Pairs

## Methods of calculation of Partition Pairs

- Partition pair P1 => P2 calculated with known partition P1
- Partition Pair P1 => P2 calculated with known partition P2



## Calculation of successor partition from the

 predecessor partition in the partition pair $\{1,23,45\}=>$ ???|  | $\mathbf{X}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | 2 | 3 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 4 | 5 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 5 | 4 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | 1 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | - |

$$
\overbrace{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text {b) }} \overbrace{\frac{1}{3}}^{\overline{1}} x_{\frac{1}{1}}^{\frac{x_{1}}{45}} \overbrace{\frac{1}{45}}^{\overline{45}}
$$

Calculation of successor partition from the successor partition in the partition pair
Machine M1


$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
\mathrm{x}_{1} & x_{2} & \mathrm{x}_{1} & \mathrm{x}_{2} & \{\text { WHAT??\} }
\end{array}=>\{13,245\}
$$

| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | 3 | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 4 | 5 | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | 1 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 5 | 4 | $\mathbf{3}$ | 1 | 1 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 1 | 1 | $\mathbf{4}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | - | $\mathbf{5}$ | 0 | - |

$$
\{1,23,45\} \Rightarrow>13,245\}
$$

## Operations on Partitions represented as Multi-lines



$\{\{1\},\{2,3,4,5\}\}+$
$\{\{3\},\{1,2,4,5\}\}=\{\{1,3\},\{2,4,5\}\}$
$\{1,2345\}+\{3,1245\}=\{13,245\}$

Union of images of predecessors

## Operations on Partitions represented as Multi-lines

Intersection (called also a product) of partitions
b)

$\{\overline{13}, \overline{4}, \overline{25}\}$

$\{\overline{1}, \overline{3}, \overline{4}, \overline{25}\}$

$\{\overline{1}, \overline{3}, \overline{4}, \overline{25}\}$


## Operations on Partitions represented as Multi-lines


-These methods are used to find a good state assignment.
-This means, the assignment that minimizes the total number of variables as arguments of excitation (and output) functions.
-The is a correspondence between the structure of the set of all partition pairs for all two-block ( proper ) partitions of a machine and the realization
(decomposition) structure of this machine
-Simple pairs lead to simple sulbmachines

Theorem 5.3. If there is transition $\prod_{I}-->\tau_{\mathrm{I}}$ and $\prod_{\mathrm{i}}>=$ $\tau_{\mathrm{s} 1 \ldots . .} \tau_{\mathrm{sn}}$ then D 1 is a logic function of only input signals and flip-flops $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{s} 1} \ldots \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{sn}}$


Fig.5.37. Structure of automaton illustrating application of Theorem 5.3

## Let us assume D type Flip - Flops





For machine M2 partitions $(1235,4)=\mathrm{T}_{4}$ and $(125,34)=\mathrm{T}_{34}$ are good for $\mathrm{y}_{1}$
Calculation of all partition pairs for
a)


| $\mathbf{1}$ | 1 | 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 1 | 3 |
| 3 | 4 | 3 |
| 4 | 5 | 3 |
| 5 | 1 | 3 |


|  |
| :--- |
| Selection <br> of <br> partitions |

Partitions good for output are circled

## Selected Partitions

- $\mathrm{T}_{23}$ is always good since it has a predecessor of 1
- Out of many pairs of proper partitions from the graph we select partitions $\mathrm{T}_{34}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{45}$ because they are both good for outputs
- So now we know from the main theorem that the (logic) excitation function of the Flip-flop encoded with partition $\mathrm{T}_{23}$ will depend only on input signals and not on outputs of other flip-flops
- We know also from the main theorem that the excitation function of flip-flop encoded with $\mathrm{T}_{45}$ will depend only on input signals and flip-flop encoded with partition $\mathrm{T}_{34}$
- The question remains how good is partition $\mathrm{T}_{34}$. It is good for output but how complex is its excitation function? This function depends either on two or three flip-flops. Not one flipflop, because it would be seen in the graph. Definitely it depends on at most three, because the product of partitions $\mathrm{T}_{23} \mathrm{~T}_{34} \mathrm{~T}_{45}$ is a zero partition
- In class we have done calculations following main theorem to evaluate complexity and the result was that it depends on three.
- Please be ready to understand these evaluation calculations and be able to use them for new examples.

Calculation of partition pair graph from multi-line for machine


Select T ${ }_{18}, T_{24}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{8}$

Explain why this is a good choice

Evaluate complexities of all excitation functions. Next calculate the functions from Kmaps and compare. Give final explanation.

Schematic of machine M3 realized using D Flip-Flops


JK flip-flops are very important since they include $D$ and $T$ as special cases - you have to know how to prove it
Relation between excitation functions for $D$ and JK flip-flops


Fig 5.36. Example of excitation function for D and JK
flip-flops

QUESTION: How to do state assignment for JK flip-flops?

## Let us first recall excitation tables for JK Flip-flops

| $\mathbf{Q}$ | $\mathbf{Q}^{+}$ | $\mathbf{J}$ | $\mathbf{K}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | - |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | 1 | $\mathbf{1}$ | - |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0 | -1 |  |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 1 | - | $\mathbf{0}$ |

for input J
$+$
$*$
$\psi_{\mathrm{r}}^{0}$ 米
for input K
*
$\phi$
$+{ }_{\mathrm{r}}^{0} \circledast$


Transition 1->0


Obtained from

| - | - |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 |

J

Now, thanks to don't cares from $J$ we can write :
$(123,48)-->\mathrm{T}_{1}$
$(23,148)-->\mathrm{T}_{1}$
From $K$ we can write :
transitions

## For this task we will adapt the Multi-line method

## Rules for State Assignment of JK Flip-Flops

## for input J


for input K
*
中




The subsequent stages are the following.

1. From multiline draw the graph of transitions for both J and K inputs.
2. Mark partitions good for output
3. Find partition pairs that simplify the total cost, exactly the same as before.

There fore the multi-line method can be extended for any type of flip-flops and for incompletely specified machines.

Fig.5.43.
Schematic of FSM from Example 5.7 realized with JK Flip-flops


